EXHIBIT 11

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1635-11 Filed 09/18/17 Page 2 of 6 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

1		
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
3	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
4		
5	WAYMO LLC,	
6	Plaintiff,	
	Case	
7	vs. No. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA	
8	UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.;	
	OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING LLC,	
9		
	Defendants.	
10	/	
11		
12		
13	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY	
14	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF GAETAN PENNECOT	
15	VOLUME III (PAGES 275 to 478)	
16	FRIDAY, JUNE 16, 2017	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22	Reported by:	
23	Anrae Wimberley	
24	CSR No. 7778	
25	Job No. 2641228	
	Page 275	

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1635-11 Filed 09/18/17 Page 3 of 6 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

1	found on your computer and that we found on Uber's	10:17:32
2	servers that we're going to be going over today.	10:17:35
3	Is that all right?	10:17:36
4	A. This is correct.	10:17:37
5	MR. JAFFE: I'm going to start with a document	10:17:45
6	labeled UBER00072128. And this is going to be Exhibit	10:17:49
7	106.	10:17:49
8	THE REPORTER: I think you said you're starting	10:17:49
9	with 107.	
10	MR. JAFFE: Excuse me. Thank you.	10:17:56
11	107. This will be Exhibit 107.	10:18:14
12	(Plaintiff's Exhibit 107 was marked.)	10:18:21
13	BY MR. JAFFE:	10:18:21
14	Q. Mr. Pennecot, do you recognize the document	10:18:23
15	that I've placed in front of you as Exhibit 107?	10:18:26
16	(Witness reviews document.)	10:19:03
17	A. Yes, I do.	10:19:04
18	Q. What is it?	10:19:05
19	A. This is like a few lens designs I simulated.	10:19:16
20	Q. Why did you create this presentation that's	10:19:20
21	Exhibit 107?	10:19:23
22	A. To chat with James.	10:19:25
23	Q. James who?	10:19:27
24	A. Haslim.	10:19:27
25	Q. The front page of this presentation that	10:19:32
	Pa	ge 285

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1635-11 Filed 09/18/17 Page 4 of 6 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

1	we've marked as Exhibit 107, it says, "Gaetan."	10:19:35
2	You authored this; right?	10:19:39
3	A. This is correct.	10:19:40
4	Q. And you authored it on October 28th, 2016?	10:19:44
5	A. This is what is written, but I believe it	10:19:48
6	took like a few days to get there.	10:19:50
7	Q. Was this did you write this before or	10:19:52
8	after the decision was made to create a diode-based	10:19:56
9	LiDAR?	10:19:57
10	A. I don't recall.	10:20:01
11	Q. And you said you created Exhibit 107 to talk	10:20:07
12	with Mr. Haslim.	10:20:07
13	Why did you create Exhibit 107 to discuss	10:20:12
14	with him?	10:20:13
15	A. So we need a basis for like designs, so I	10:20:22
16	took like a few designs that I know and I say this is	10:20:26
17	things that I believed what what it should be. So	10:20:36
18	it's to compare.	10:20:38
19	Q. When you said a few designs that you know,	10:20:41
20	what are you referring to?	10:20:42
21	A. So, actually, let's put it this way:	10:20:48
22	Velodyne HDL-64 RX aspheric lens, I just assumed that	10:20:58
23	the Velodyne lens was aspheric. So I don't know I	10:21:00
24	don't know it for sure. Like it's possible designs.	10:21:05
25	Q. And if you can turn to the page ending in	10:21:13
	Pa	ge 286

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1635-11 Filed 09/18/17 Page 5 of 6 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

1	135.	10:21:14
2	Do you see there's something called a	10:21:22
3	Benchmark 1 design?	10:21:24
4	A. Yes.	10:21:24
5	Q. What does that refer to?	10:21:26
6	A. This is a lens I had experience with it's	10:21:36
7	a lens design that I've already worked on.	10:21:43
8	Q. When you say "already worked on," what do you	10:21:45
9	mean by that?	10:21:46
10	A. I worked on a similar lens at Google.	10:21:51
11	Q. Is this the GBR3 lens?	10:21:54
12	A. This is the GBR lens, so GBR2, GBR3.	10:21:59
13	Q. And so the information here describing this	10:22:04
14	lens is really describing Waymo's GBR lens design; is	10:22:09
15	that right?	10:22:10
16	A. This is not correct.	10:22:11
17	Q. How is that incorrect?	10:22:14
18	A. So material is the same, aspheric front	10:22:21
19	surface. So there's we use an aspheric surface in	10:22:32
20	front, toroidal surface in the back. And I believe	10:22:38
21	this is the same dimensions, aperture, same focal,	10:22:51
22	however, it's not the same equation.	10:22:55
23	Q. How do you know it's not the same equation?	10:23:00
24	A. Because it's impossible to go back to the	10:23:04
25	same equation. I didn't you would have to remember	10:23:10
	Pag	ge 287

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1635-11 Filed 09/18/17 Page 6 of 6 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

1	exactly the merit function to end up on the same	10:23:14
2	equation.	10:23:15
3	Q. And you didn't remember the merit function	10:23:17
4	for the GBR lens?	10:23:20
5	A. No.	10:23:20
6	Q. Was the Benchmark 1 design lens your best	10:23:24
7	approximation of the merit function in the GBR design?	10:23:30
8	A. Yes.	10:23:30
9	Q. Looking back at this diagram, there's six	10:23:43
10	emitting points.	10:23:45
11	Do you see that?	10:23:47
12	A. Yes, I do.	10:23:49
13	Q. Why are there six emitting points here?	10:23:53
14	A. These are my optimization angles. Basically,	10:24:02
15	I optimize lenses in different areas. You can	10:24:07
16	optimize a lens on axis, but here I wanted to optimize	10:24:12
17	on different angle. So it's probably 0 degree, 2	10:24:17
18	degree, 4 degrees, 6 degrees, 8 degrees, 10 degrees.	10:24:22
19	Q. So is what's shown here, on page 135 of	10:24:28
20	Exhibit 107, is this showing the kind of the layout	10:24:35
21	of where the laser emitters would be or no?	10:24:37
22	A. Not at all.	10:24:39
23	Q. How many channels was the Benchmark 1 design	10:24:48
24	designed for?	10:24:50
25	A. This is just a lens.	10:24:53
	Pag	ge 288